

The Gauge Dual of A Warped Product of AdS_4 and A Squashed and Stretched Seven-Manifold

Changhyun Ahn and Kyungsung Woo

Department of Physics, Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, Korea

ahn@knu.ac.kr

weeks@knu.ac.kr

Abstract

Corrado, Pilch and Warner in 2001 have found the second 11-dimensional solution where the deformed geometry of $\mathbf{S}^7$ in the lift contains $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^2$. We identify the gauge dual of this background with the theory described by Franco, Klebanov and Rodriguez-Gomez recently. It is the $U(N) \times U(N) \times U(N)$ gauge theory with two $SU(2)$ doublet chiral fields B_1 transforming in the $(\mathbf{N}, \bar{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{1})$, B_2 transforming in the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{N}, \bar{\mathbf{N}})$, C_1 in the $(\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{N})$ and C_2 in the $(\bar{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{1})$ as well as an adjoint field Φ in the $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{adj}, \mathbf{1})$ representation.

By adding the mass term for adjoint field Φ , the detailed correspondence between fields of AdS_4 supergravity and composite operators of the IR field theory is determined. Moreover, we compute the spin-2 KK modes around a warped product of AdS_4 and a squashed and stretched seven-manifold. This background with global $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry is related to a $U(N) \times U(N) \times U(N)$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal Chern-Simons matter theory with eighth-order superpotential and Chern-Simons level $(1, 1, -2)$. The mass-squared in AdS_4 depends on $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ quantum numbers and KK excitation number. The dimensions of spin-2 operators are found.

1 Introduction

The $\mathcal{N} = 6$ $U(N) \times U(N)$ Chern-Simons matter theory with level k in 3-dimensions is described as the low energy limit of N M2-branes at $\mathbf{C}^4/\mathbf{Z}_k$ singularity [1]. For $k = 1, 2$, the full $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry is preserved while for $k > 2$, the supersymmetry is broken to $\mathcal{N} = 6$. The matter contents and the superpotential of this theory are the same as for the D3-branes on the conifold [2]. The RG flow between the UV fixed point and the IR fixed point of the 3-dimensional gauge theory can be obtained from gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity in 4-dimensions via AdS/CFT correspondence [3]. The holographic RG flow equation connecting $\mathcal{N} = 8$ $SO(8)$ fixed point to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(3) \times U(1)$ fixed point has been studied in [4, 5] where the $U(1)$ symmetry can be identified with $U(1)_R$ symmetry of 3-dimensional theory coming from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry while those from $\mathcal{N} = 8$ $SO(8)$ fixed point to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ G_2 fixed point has been also studied in [5, 6, 7]. The M-theory lifts of these RG flows have been found in [8, 6] by solving the Einstein-Maxwell equations in 11-dimensions with the appropriate field strengths in the internal space.

The mass deformed $U(2) \times U(2)$ Chern-Simons matter theory with level $k = 1, 2$ preserving global $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry has been studied in [9, 10, 11, 12] by identifying the turning on the supergravity fields with the mass term in the boundary gauge theory while the mass deformation for this theory preserving G_2 symmetry has been described in [13]. Due to the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry for the latter, there is no $U(1)_R$ symmetry. The nonsupersymmetric RG flow equations preserving $SO(7)^\pm$ symmetries have been discussed in [14] by looking at the domain wall solutions. The holographic RG flow equations connecting $\mathcal{N} = 1$ G_2 fixed point to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ fixed point have been found in [15] by analyzing the mass terms for the scalar potential at each critical point. Moreover, the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ RG flows have been studied in [16] by studying the explicit gauged supergravity theory. Recently, further developments on the gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity in four-dimensions have been done in [17, 18] in the context of bulk and boundary theory. Very recently, by following the prescription of [12], the spin-2 Kaluza-Klein modes around a warped product of AdS_4 and a seven-ellipsoid which has global G_2 symmetry are discussed in [19] with the computation of Laplacian eigenvalue problem.

Are there any further examples which have an explicit AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence? The seven-sphere $\mathbf{S}^7$ can be realized by $\mathbf{S}^1$ -fibration over $\mathbf{CP}^3$ [20, 21]. For the standard Fubini-Study metric on the $\mathbf{CP}^3$, it contains $\mathbf{CP}^2$ [22, 8, 23, 24] or $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$ [8, 25] inside of $\mathbf{CP}^3$. It is natural to generalize the above seven-sphere to the $U(1)$ bundle over an arbitrary Einstein-Kahler manifold [8]. Although it is not known how to generalize the $\mathbf{CP}^3$ to an arbitrary

Einstein-Kähler 3-fold, an arbitrary Einstein-Kähler 2-fold can replace the above $\mathbf{CP}^2$. The natural choice is given by the above $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$. The $U(1)$ bundle over $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$ is known as $T^{1,1}$ space. In [8], they have found two different 11-dimensional solutions where the first contains $\mathbf{CP}^2$ with $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry and the second has $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$ with $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry. In this paper, we focus on the second solution. For the first solution, there are many relevant works given in [9, 10, 11, 12]. The structure of 11-dimensional metric is fixed by requiring that the maximally supersymmetric $SO(8)$ vacuum should preserve the $AdS_4 \times \mathbf{S}^7$ solution. This leads to the above $T^{1,1}$ space for particular coordinate inside the 11-dimensional metric. Furthermore, they have found that the Ricci tensor for the second solution with frame basis is exactly the same as the one of the first solution by assuming that the supergravity fields satisfy the same equations of motion discovered by [4]. For the 3-form potential the two solutions are different from each other. It is surprising that the same flow equations in 4-dimensions provide two different 11-dimensional solutions to the equations of the 11-dimensional supergravity theory.

Then it is natural to ask what is the dual gauge theory corresponding to the above second 11-dimensional solution. Recently, Franco, Klebanov and Rodriguez-Gomez [26] have studied the M2-branes on resolved cones over $Q^{1,1,1}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}(Q^{1,1,1})$, motivated by the observations for D3-branes in [27] in type IIB theory. Since $\mathcal{C}(Q^{1,1,1})$ can be described by $\mathbf{C}^2$ bundle over $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$, blowing-up one $\mathbf{CP}^1$ leads to $\mathcal{C}(T^{1,1}) \times \mathbf{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}(T^{1,1})$ is cone over $T^{1,1}$ space. The blowing-up one $\mathbf{CP}^1$ corresponds to removing a point in the toric diagram. The resolutions correspond to turning on the vevs for the scalar component of chiral superfield. Among two possible blow-ups, one of them provides the dual gauge theory we describe in this paper. Originally, the quiver Chern-Simons gauge theory dual to $AdS_4 \times Q^{1,1,1}$ is characterized by $U(N)_1 \times U(N)_2 \times U(N)_3 \times U(N)_4$ gauge theory with levels $(1, 1, -1, -1)$ coupled to three kinds of bifundamental chiral superfields with a sextic superpotential [28]. The symmetry here is given by $SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)_R$ which is smaller than $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ that is the symmetry of $Q^{1,1,1}$ space itself. By turning on the vev for one of the chiral superfields and renaming the remaining doublet to the adjoint field, the superpotential reduces to the interaction between this adjoint field and other two kinds of chiral superfields. Then the groups $U(N)_3 \times U(N)_4$ breaks into the diagonal $U(N)$ subgroup leading to three product gauge groups. The previous Chern-Simons level becomes $(1, 1, -2)$.

The supersymmetric flow solution [4, 5] in four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity interpolates between an exterior AdS_4 region with maximal supersymmetry and an interior AdS_4 with one quarter of the maximal supersymmetry. This can be interpreted as the RG flow in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory which has $OSp(8|4)$ symmetry broken to an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory which has

$OSp(2|4)$ symmetry by the addition of a mass term for the adjoint chiral superfield. The role of this massive adjoint superfield is completely different from those in $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ symmetric case. A precise correspondence is obtained between fields of bulk supergravity in the AdS_4 region and composite operators of the IR field theory in three dimensions. The global symmetry can be obtained from the structure of $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$ whose symmetry group is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry which gives $SO(2)_R = U(1)_R$ symmetry. We calculate the explicit KK spectrum of the spin-2 fields in AdS_4 by following the work of [12, 19]. In an 11-dimensional theory, the equation for the metric perturbations leads to a minimally coupled scalar equation. We obtain all the KK modes in terms of the seven variables parametrizing the deformed seven-manifold. The squared-mass terms in AdS_4 for all the modes depend on the $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ quantum number and the KK excitation number. We describe the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dual SCFT operators.

In section 2, the 11-dimensional background [8] is reviewed. In section 3, the $OSp(2|4)$ representations and $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ representations in the supergravity mass spectrum for each multiplet at the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ critical point and the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields in the dual gauge theory are given in the spirit of [9, 13]. The Kahler potential is also obtained. In section 4, the minimally coupled scalar equation [12, 19] in the background of section 2 and the mass formula are determined. The quantum numbers of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operators in Chern-Simons matter theory with eighth-order superpotential are given. In section 5, the summary of this paper is given and the future directions also are given. In the Appendices A, B and C, we present some details for the field strength and Ricci tensor.

2 An $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ -invariant flow in an 11-dimensional theory

Let us review the 11-dimensional uplift of the supergravity background with global $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry and recall that the supergravity background with global $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ was found in [8] as a nontrivial extremum of the gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity in 4-dimensions. The 11-dimensional coordinates with indices $M, N, \dots$ are decomposed into 4-dimensional spacetime x^μ and 7-dimensional internal space y^m . Denoting the 11-dimensional metric as g_{MN} with the convention $(-, +, \dots, +)$ and the antisymmetric tensor fields as $F_{MNPQ} = 4\partial_{[M}A_{NPQ]}$, the Einstein-Maxwell equations are given by [29]

$$\begin{aligned} R_M^N &= \frac{1}{3} F_{MPQR} F^{NPQR} - \frac{1}{36} \delta_M^N F_{PQRS} F^{PQRS}, \\ \nabla_M F^{MNPQ} &= -\frac{1}{576} E \epsilon^{NPQRSTUVWXY} F_{RSTU} F_{VWXY}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the covariant derivative ∇_M on F^{MNPQ} in (2.1) is given by $E^{-1}\partial_M(EF^{MNPQ})$ together with elfbein determinant $E \equiv \sqrt{-g_{11}}$. The epsilon tensor $\epsilon_{NPQRSTUVWXY}$ with lower indices is purely numerical. The geometry is a warped product of AdS_4 and the squashed and stretched 7-dimensional manifold.

The conifold [30] that is a singular noncompact Calabi-Yau three-fold is a surface in $\mathbf{C}^4$ parametrized by four complex coordinates z_1, z_2, z_3 and z_4 which satisfy the quadratic equation

$$z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where these are given by six angular variables

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= \frac{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi+\phi_1+\phi_2)} - \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi-\phi_1-\phi_2)} \right], \\ z_2 &= \frac{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}i} \left[-\cos\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi+\phi_1+\phi_2)} - \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi-\phi_1-\phi_2)} \right], \\ z_3 &= \frac{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[-\cos\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi+\phi_1-\phi_2)} - \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi-\phi_1+\phi_2)} \right], \\ z_4 &= \frac{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}i} \left[-\cos\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi+\phi_1-\phi_2)} + \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi-\phi_1+\phi_2)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Here one $SU(2)$ acts on θ_1, ϕ_1 and ϕ while the other acts on θ_2, ϕ_2 and ψ . See also earlier work by [31]. One can easily check that $\sum_{i=1}^4 |z_i|^2 = r^3$. In order to introduce 7-dimensional coordinatization, one multiplies the above four complex coordinates by $\cos \mu$ as follows:

$$z_1 \rightarrow \cos \mu z_1, \quad z_2 \rightarrow \cos \mu z_2, \quad z_3 \rightarrow \cos \mu z_3, \quad z_4 \rightarrow \cos \mu z_4, \quad (2.4)$$

and moreover, we introduce the other complex coordinate

$$w = \sin \mu r^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-i\psi}, \quad (2.5)$$

with the property $1 - |w|^2 = r^3 \cos^2 \mu$. Then one obtains $\sum_{i=1}^4 |z_i|^2 + |w|^2 = r^3$, and for $r = 1$, this leads to a seven-sphere of radius 1 in $\mathbf{R}^8$ due to the constraint (2.2).

How do we obtain the second 11-dimensional solution? One needs to find out the right metric structure first. By replacing the $\mathbf{CP}^2$ inside the 11-dimensional metric with $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$, the second eleven-dimensional metric in [8] preserving $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ where the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry is observed via $U(1)_R$ symmetry in 3-dimensions is, by evaluating the nontrivial warp factor coming from 4-dimensional supergravity data, given by

$$ds_{11}^2 = \Delta^{-1} (dr^2 + e^{2A(r)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu) + 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \sqrt{\Delta} ds_7^2(\rho, \chi), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-, +, +)$ and the 7-dimensional metric in terms of supergravity fields ρ and χ is

$$\begin{aligned} ds_7^2(\rho, \chi) &= \frac{X}{\rho^6} d\mu^2 + \rho^2 \cos^2 \mu \left[\frac{1}{6}(d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2) + \frac{1}{6}(d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\phi_2^2) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\rho^{10}}{4X} \sin^2 2\mu \left[-\frac{d\psi}{\rho^8} + \frac{1}{3}(d\psi + d\phi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2) \right]^2 \\ &+ \frac{\rho^2 \cosh^2 \chi}{X} \left[\sin^2 \mu d\psi + \frac{1}{3} \cos^2 \mu (d\psi + d\phi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2) \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

and we introduce

$$X \equiv \cos^2 \mu + \rho^8 \sin^2 \mu, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\Delta = \frac{\rho^{\frac{4}{3}}}{X^{\frac{2}{3}} \cosh^{\frac{4}{3}} \chi}. \quad (2.9)$$

The $A(r)$ in (2.6) is a scale factor. The main results of this background are the fact that they showed the equations of motion for ρ and χ are the same as the one in $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ invariant RG flows and the corresponding 3-form has little modification. In particular, for the $\mu = 0$, the above (2.7) becomes

$$\rho^2 ds_{T^{1,1}}^2 + \rho^2 \sinh^2 \chi \frac{1}{9} (d\psi + d\phi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2)^2, \quad (2.10)$$

where the five-dimensional metric is well-known $T^{1,1}$ manifold

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{T^{1,1}}^2 &= \frac{1}{9} (d\psi + d\phi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} (d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\phi_1^2) + \frac{1}{6} (d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_2 d\phi_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

For large r ($\rho \rightarrow 1$ and $\chi \rightarrow 0$), the moduli space (2.10) approaches the Ricci flat Kahler conifold. We are focusing on the IR critical values in the background of (2.6)

$$\rho = 3^{\frac{1}{8}}, \quad \chi = \frac{1}{2} \cosh^{-1} 2. \quad (2.12)$$

The amounts of squashing and stretching are parametrized by ρ and χ respectively.

Secondly, we need to find out the right 3-form structure. One can start with the 3-form on the 7-dimensional space

$$C^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{4} \sinh \chi e^{i(-\phi-2\psi)} (e^5 + ie^{10}) \wedge (e^6 + ie^7) \wedge (e^8 + ie^9), \quad (2.13)$$

in order to describe the 4-form $F^{(4)}$ that solves (2.1) in the frame basis together with (2.6) ¹.

Then we take 3-form in 11-dimensional geometry as

$$A^{(3)} = \frac{3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{4} e^{\frac{3r}{L}} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + C^{(3)} + (C^{(3)})^*. \quad (2.14)$$

The internal part of $F^{(4)}$ can be written as $dC^{(3)} + d(C^{(3)})^*$. The antisymmetric tensor fields can be obtained from $F^{(4)} = dA^{(3)}$ with (2.14). We present both the 4-form and the Ricci tensor in frame basis in the Appendix A.

Let us define the R-charge to be given by the Killing vector

$$R = -i(2\partial_\phi - \partial_\psi) = \frac{1}{2}(z_i\partial_{z_i} - \bar{z}_i\partial_{\bar{z}_i}) + w\partial_w - \bar{w}\partial_{\bar{w}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Then the z_i 's coordinates have R-charge $\frac{1}{2}$ while the w coordinate has R-charge 1, from (2.3) and (2.5). Note that the corresponding shifts in ψ and ϕ under (2.15), that is, $\phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\gamma$ and $\psi \rightarrow \psi - \gamma$ preserve the quantity $\phi + 2\psi$. Of course, this $U(1)$ should be identified with the $U(1)_R$ symmetry of the dual gauge theory we will discuss next sections. Therefore, the ambiguity for the $U(1)_R$ charge in group theory analysis alone, coming from the different embeddings of $U(1)_R$ in $SO(8)$, is resolved by the above $U(1)_R$ charge assignment (2.15).

3 $OSp(2|4)$ spectrum and operator map between bulk and boundary theories

What is the dual gauge theory corresponding to the previous 11-dimensional background in the context of AdS/CFT? By giving a vacuum expectation value to one of the internal fields in the quiver $U(N) \times U(N) \times U(N) \times U(N)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory for M2-branes probing the cone over $Q^{1,1,1}$, $\mathcal{C}(Q^{1,1,1})$, the theory becomes the quiver diagram for a partial resolution of $Q^{1,1,1}$ theory with the gauge group [26] $U(N) \times U(N) \times U(N)$, and the $SU(2)$ doublets chiral fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 & \text{ in } (\mathbf{N}, \bar{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{1}), & B_2 & \text{ in } (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{N}, \bar{\mathbf{N}}), \\ C_1 & \text{ in } (\mathbf{1}, \bar{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{N}), & C_2 & \text{ in } (\bar{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and there exists an adjoint field

$$\Phi \text{ in } (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{adj}, \mathbf{1}). \quad (3.2)$$

¹ Let us emphasize that the form of (2.13) is different from the one in [8] where maybe the careless typing was done. 1) The imaginary i should be in the exponent of exponential function and 2) the coefficient in e^{10} should be changed in the original paper [8].

The superpotential is given by the following interaction between these fields

$$W = \Phi (C_2 B_1 B_2 C_1 - B_2 C_1 C_2 B_1). \quad (3.3)$$

What are the scale dimensions for these fields? The scale dimension of four chiral superfields are $\frac{3}{8}$ which is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{3}{4}$ at the UV (the number $\frac{1}{2}$ is checked in [9]) while the one of adjoint superfield becomes $\frac{1}{2}$ in order to have vanishing beta-function. The ratio $\frac{3}{4}$ is equivalent to the ratio of the number of massless fields in $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ [9, 10] to the number of massless fields in $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ here: (3.11). Then the scale dimension of (3.3) is two. See also [32] where they computed the $U(1)_R$ charges for $\mathcal{C}(T^{1,1}) \times \mathbf{C}$ Calabi-Yau 4-fold as $(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2})$. The Chern-Simons level $\vec{k} = (1, 1, -1, -1)$ of $Q^{1,1,1}$ is transformed as $(1, 1, -2)$. The invariants are given by [26]

$$z_1 = B_1 C_2, \quad z_2 = B_2 C_1, \quad z_3 = B_1 C_1, \quad z_4 = B_2 C_2, \quad w = \Phi, \quad (3.4)$$

where $z_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ parametrize the conifold via (2.3) and the adjoint field parametrizes the complex line $\mathbf{C}$. Due to the complex field Φ which has a superpotential giving it a mass which drives the flow, we introduce the following mass term

$$\Delta W = \frac{1}{2} m \Phi^2, \quad (3.5)$$

where the IR value of scaling dimension for Φ is 1 with scale dimension zero for the mass m . By integrating out the massive field in the superpotential $W + \Delta W$ given by (3.3) and (3.5), one obtains the effective new eighth-order superpotential

$$W_{eff} = \frac{1}{m} (C_2 B_1 B_2 C_1 - B_2 C_1 C_2 B_1)^2. \quad (3.6)$$

How do we check the scale dimensions? This implies that the IR value of scaling dimension for B_i and C_i from (3.6) is $\frac{1}{4}$ which is identical to $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{3}{4}$. Again, the number $\frac{1}{3}$ is checked in [9] and the origin for the number $\frac{3}{4}$ is explained before: the ratio of the number of massless fields in two different cases. See also [33].

From the branching rule [34] of $SO(8)$ into $SU(2) \times SU(2)$, the spin $2, \frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0$ fields transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1} &\rightarrow (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), \\ \mathbf{8} &\rightarrow 4(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}), \\ \mathbf{28} &\rightarrow 6(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 4(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}), \\ \mathbf{56} &\rightarrow 4(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 7(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 4(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 4(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}), \\ \mathbf{70} &\rightarrow 11(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 8(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 3(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 3(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

respectively. Fields of different spin but same $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ representation in the decomposition (3.7) of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supermultiplet must recombine into various $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supermultiplets. The correspondence between fields of AdS_4 supergravity and composite operators of the IR field theory can be described in this section, along the spirit of [13, 9].

The even subalgebra of the superalgebra $OSp(2|4)$ is a direct sum of subalgebras where $Sp(4, R) \simeq SO(3, 2)$ is the isometry algebra of AdS_4 and the compact subalgebra $SO(2)$ generates $U(1)_R$ symmetry [35, 36, 37]. The maximally compact subalgebra is then $SO(2)_E \times SO(3)_S \times SO(2)_Y$ where the generator of $SO(2)_E$ is the hamiltonian of the system and its eigenvalues E are the energy levels of states for the system, the group $SO(3)_S$ is the rotation group and its representation s describes the spin states of the system, and the eigenvalue y of the generator of $SO(2)_Y$ is the hypercharge of the state. A supermultiplet, a unitary irreducible representations(UIR) of the superalgebra $OSp(2|4)$, consists of a finite number of UIR of the even subalgebra and a particle state is characterized by a spin s , a mass m and a hypercharge y . The relations between the mass and energy are given in [38].

Let us classify the supergravity multiplet which is invariant under $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$ and describe them in the three dimensional boundary theory.

- Long massive vector multiplet

The conformal dimension Δ is given by $\Delta = E_0$ and the $U(1)_R$ charge is 0. The $U(1)_R$ charge is related to a hypercharge by $R = y$. The K is a general unconstrained scalar superfield in the boundary theory and has a dimension $\frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{17})$ in the IR [9] because we use the same 4-dimensional flow equations.

Let us describe the Kahler potential more detail and it is found in [33], by looking at the 11 dimensional flow equation [8], as

$$K = \frac{1}{4}\tau_{M2}L^2e^A\left(\rho^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^6}\right), \quad \frac{dq}{dr} = \frac{2}{L\rho^2}q, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\rho \equiv e^{\frac{\lambda}{4\sqrt{2}}}$ and $\chi \equiv \frac{\lambda'}{\sqrt{2}}$ in previous notations [4]. The corresponding Kahler metric is given by [33]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{4p^2}\left(p\frac{d}{dp}\right)^2 K dp^2 + \left(p\frac{d}{dp}\right) K d\hat{z}_i d\hat{\bar{z}}_i + \left(p^2\frac{d^2}{dp^2}\right) K |\hat{z}_i d\hat{\bar{z}}_i|^2, \quad (3.9)$$

where the coordinate p is defined as

$$p \equiv z_1\bar{z}_1 + z_2\bar{z}_2 + z_3\bar{z}_3 + z_4\bar{z}_4, \quad (3.10)$$

and the four complex coordinates parametrize the $\mathbf{C}^4$ and the $\hat{z}_i$'s are coordinates on an $\mathbf{S}^7$ of unit radius. The quantity q will be defined later. The $\frac{2}{3}d\hat{z}_i d\hat{\bar{z}}_i - \frac{2}{9}|\hat{z}_i d\hat{\bar{z}}_i|^2$ is a metric on

the $T^{1,1}$ (2.11) while $|\hat{z}_i d\hat{z}_i|^2$ is the $U(1)$ fiber in the description of $T^{1,1}$. Note that there is a relation given by the first order differential equation $\frac{dK}{dr} = \tau_{M_2} L e^A$ [33]. The moduli space is parametrized by the vacuum expectation values of the four massless scalars Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 and Φ_4 denoted as z_1, z_2, z_3 and z_4 from (3.4)

$$\Phi_1 = z_1, \quad \Phi_2 = z_2, \quad \Phi_3 = z_3, \quad \Phi_4 = z_4. \quad (3.11)$$

The $z_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ transform in the representation $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ while their complex conjugates $\bar{z}_i$ also transform in the representation $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$.

At the UV end of the flow which is just $AdS_4 \times \mathbf{S}^7$, $A(r) \sim \frac{2}{L}r$ from the solution for $A(r)$ and $W = 1$ [4]. Moreover, the radial coordinate on moduli space $\sqrt{q} \sim e^{\frac{r}{L}} \sim e^{\frac{A(r)}{2}}$ from (3.8) by substituting $\rho = 1$. Therefore, the Kahler potential from (3.8) behaves as $K \sim e^{A(r)} \sim q \sim p^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Note that q and p has the following relation $q \equiv \frac{3}{2}p^{\frac{2}{3}}$ [33]. This implies that $K = (\Phi_1 \bar{\Phi}_1 + \Phi_2 \bar{\Phi}_2 + \Phi_3 \bar{\Phi}_3 + \Phi_4 \bar{\Phi}_4)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ at the UV in the boundary theory. The scaling dimensions for $\Phi_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and its conjugate fields are $\frac{3}{4}$ as explained around (3.3) and (3.4). See also [32] where the R-charges in a Calabi-Yau four-fold, the cone over $T^{1,1}$ multiplied by a complex line $\mathbf{C}$ (denoted by $\mathcal{C}(T^{1,1}) \times \mathbf{C}$ as in the introduction), in the context of toric diagram are computed. Note that the scaling dimension of K is equal to 1 which is correct because it should have scaling dimension 1. The observation for this particular number $\frac{3}{4}$ is also noticed in [33] earlier.

At the IR end of the flow, $A(r) \sim \frac{3\frac{3}{4}}{L}r$ with $SO(8)$ coupling $g \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{L}$ from the solution for $A(r)$ and $W = \frac{3\frac{3}{4}}{2}$ [4]. Moreover, $\sqrt{q} \sim e^{\frac{3-\frac{1}{4}}{L}r} \sim e^{\frac{A(r)}{3}}$ from (3.8) by substituting $\rho = 3^{\frac{1}{8}}$ (2.12). Therefore, the Kahler potential behaves as $K \sim e^{A(r)} \sim q^{\frac{3}{2}} \sim p$. Then K becomes from (3.10) and (3.11)

$$K = \Phi_1 \bar{\Phi}_1 + \Phi_2 \bar{\Phi}_2 + \Phi_3 \bar{\Phi}_3 + \Phi_4 \bar{\Phi}_4, \quad (3.12)$$

in the boundary theory. Obviously, from the tensor product between $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ and $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ representation, one gets a singlet $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$ with $U(1)_R$ charge 0, as in Table 1. Note that $\Phi_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ has $U(1)_R$ charge $\frac{1}{2}$ (explained around (3.6)) [33] while $\bar{\Phi}_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ has $U(1)_R$ charge $-\frac{1}{2}$. As observed in [33], the number $\frac{1}{2}$ is equal to simply $\frac{3}{4}$ times the dimension of fields in $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ flow. That is, $\frac{3}{4} \times \frac{1}{3}$ which should be multiplied by 2 because Φ_i is quadratic in the $SU(2)$ doublet chiral fields: (3.4) and (3.11). Since the scaling dimensions for $\Phi_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and its conjugate fields are $\frac{1}{2}$, the scaling dimension of K is 1 which is consistent with classical value as before. The corresponding Kahler metric (3.9) provides the Kahler term in the action. For the superfield K (3.12), the action looks like $\int d^3x d^2\theta^+ d^2\theta^- K$ as in [9]. The component content of this action can be worked out

Boundary Operator(B.O.)	Energy	Spin 0	Spin $\frac{1}{2}$	Spin 1
$K = \Phi_1 \bar{\Phi}_1 + \Phi_2 \bar{\Phi}_2 + \Phi_3 \bar{\Phi}_3 + \Phi_4 \bar{\Phi}_4$	$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{17})$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$		
	$E_0 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{17})$		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$	
	$E_0 + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{17})$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm 1, 0}$		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$
	$E_0 + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(4 + \sqrt{17})$		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$	
	$E_0 + 2 = \frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{17})$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$		

Table 1: *The $OSp(2|4)$ representations(energy, spin, hypercharge) and $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ representations in the supergravity mass spectrum for long massive vector multiplet at the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ critical point and the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield in the boundary gauge theory.*

straightforwardly using the projection technique. This implies that the highest component field in $\theta^\pm$ -expansion, the last element in Table 1, has a conformal dimension $\frac{1}{2}(5 + \sqrt{17})$ in the IR as before.

The corresponding $OSp(2|4)$ representations and corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield in three dimensions are listed in Table 1. The relation between Δ and the mass for various fields can be found in [38]. For spin 0 and 1, their relations are given by $\Delta_\pm = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{4}}}{2}$ where we have to choose the correct root among two cases as in [39] while for spin $\frac{1}{2}$, the explicit form is given by $\Delta = \frac{6 + |m|}{4}$. Using these relations, one can read off the mass for each state.

- Short massive gravitino multiplet

The conformal dimension Δ is the twice of $U(1)_R$ charge plus $\frac{3}{2}$ for the lowest component, $\Delta = E_0 = 2|R| + \frac{3}{2}$. This corresponds to spinorial superfield Φ_α that satisfies $D^{+\alpha}\Phi_\alpha = 0$ [40]. Of course, this constraint makes the multiplet short. In the $\theta^\pm$ expansion, the component fields in the bulk are located with appropriate quantum numbers. The massless chiral superfields $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4$ have $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $U(1)_R$ charge $\frac{1}{2}$ as before(around (3.6)). The gauge superfield W_α has $\Delta = 2y + 1$ and $U(1)_R$ charge $y - \frac{1}{2}$ and its conjugate field has opposite $U(1)_R$ charge $-y + \frac{1}{2}$. Note that the $U(1)_R$ charge for the gravitino in the $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ was given by $y = \frac{1}{6}$ [41]. Then one can identify $\text{Tr } W_\alpha \Phi_j$ with $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ and $\text{Tr } \bar{W}_\alpha \bar{\Phi}_j$ with $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$. The corresponding $OSp(2|4)$ representations and corresponding superfield are listed in Table 2. For spin $\frac{3}{2}$, the relation for the mass and dimension is given by $\Delta = \frac{6 + |m + 4|}{4}$ and for spin 0, 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$, the previous relations hold.

- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ massless graviton multiplet

This can be identified with the stress energy tensor superfield $T_{\alpha\beta}$ that satisfies the equations $D_\alpha^\pm T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ [42, 43]. In components, the $\theta^\pm$ expansion of this superfield has the stress energy tensor, the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supercurrents, and $U(1)_R$ symmetry current, as usual. The conformal dimension $\Delta = 2$ and the $U(1)_R$ charge is 0. The corresponding $OSp(2|4)$ represen-

B.O.	Energy	Spin 0	Spin $\frac{1}{2}$	Spin 1	Spin $\frac{3}{2}$
$\text{Tr } W_\alpha \Phi_j$ complex	E_0		$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_y$		
	$E_0 + \frac{1}{2}$	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{y-\frac{1}{2}}$		$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{y\pm\frac{1}{2}}$	
	$E_0 + 1$		$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{y,y-1}$		$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_y$
	$E_0 + \frac{3}{2}$			$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{y-\frac{1}{2}}$	

Table 2: The $OSp(2|4)$ representations(energy, spin, hypercharge) and $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ representations in the supergravity mass spectrum for short massive gravitino multiplet at the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ critical point and the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield in the boundary gauge theory where $E_0 = 2|y| + \frac{3}{2} = 2|R| + \frac{3}{2}$.

B.O.	Energy	Spin 0	Spin $\frac{1}{2}$	Spin 1	Spin $\frac{3}{2}$	Spin 2
$\text{Tr } \bar{\Phi}_i T^A \Phi_i$	$E_0 = 1$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_0$				
	$E_0 + \frac{1}{2}$		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{\pm\frac{1}{2}} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$			
	$E_0 + 1$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_0$		$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_0$		
$T_{\alpha\beta}$	$E_0 = 2$			$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$		
	$E_0 + \frac{1}{2}$				$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$	
	$E_0 + 1$					$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$

Table 3: The $OSp(2|4)$ representations(energy, spin, hypercharge) and $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ representations in the supergravity mass spectrum for “ultra” short multiplets at the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ critical point and the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields in the boundary gauge theory.

tations and corresponding superfield are listed in Table 3. For spin 2, we have the relation $\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{9 + \frac{m^2}{4}}}{2}$ and for massless case, this leads to $\Delta_+ = 3$. This massless state can be seen from the Table 4.

- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ massless vector multiplet

This conserved vector current is given by a scalar superfield J^A satisfying $D^{\pm\alpha} D_\alpha^\pm J^A = 0$ [42]. This transforms in the adjoint representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ flavor group. The corresponding boundary object is given by $\text{Tr } \bar{\Phi}_i T^A \Phi_i$ where the flavor indices in Φ_i and $\bar{\Phi}_i$ are contracted and the generator T^A is $N \times N$ matrix with $A = 1, 2, \dots, N^2$. The conformal dimension $\Delta = 1$ and the $U(1)_R$ charge is 0. By taking a tensor product between $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ and $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$, one gets $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})$ of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ representation. The corresponding $OSp(2|4)$ representations and corresponding superfield are listed in Table 3.

We have presented the gauge invariant combinations of the massless superfields of the gauge theory whose scaling dimensions and $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ quantum numbers exactly match the two short multiplets in Tables 2, 3 observed in the supergravity.

4 KK spectrum of minimally coupled scalar

Let us describe the KK modes by solving the Laplace equation in 7-dimensional internal space. A minimally coupled scalar field is interacting with the gravitational field. The action in the background which is a warped product of AdS_4 and a squashed and stretched 7-dimensional manifold is given by

$$S = \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

The equation of motion from this action (4.1) is

$$\square\phi = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Here $\square$ is the 11-dimensional Laplacian. By exploiting the separation of variables

$$\phi = \hat{\Phi}(x^\mu, r)Y(y^m), \quad (4.3)$$

and substituting (4.3) into (4.2), one can write down (4.2) as

$$Y(y^m) \square_4 \hat{\Phi}(x^\mu, r) + \hat{\Phi}(x^\mu, r) \mathcal{L} Y(y^m) = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\square_4$ stands for the AdS_4 Laplacian and $\mathcal{L}$ stands for a differential operator acting on 7-dimensional manifold and is

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{\Delta^{-1}}{\sqrt{-g_{11}}} \partial_M (\sqrt{-g_{11}} g_{11}^{MN} \partial_N) = \frac{\Delta^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{\sqrt{g_7}} \partial_m \left(3^{-\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^{-2} \Delta^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{g_7} g_7^{mn} \partial_n \right), \quad (4.5)$$

where g_{mn}^7 and g_{MN}^{11} are described by the metrics (2.7) and (2.6) respectively. The 7-dimensional metric g_{mn}^7 is given by explicitly

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(2-c_{2\mu})}{3^{\frac{3}{4}}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2c_\mu^2(-7+3c_{2\mu})+c_{2\theta_1}s_{2\mu}^2}{16 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & 0 & \frac{c_{\theta_1}c_{\theta_2}c_\mu^2(-3+c_{2\mu})}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & \frac{c_{\theta_1}c_\mu^2(-3+c_{2\mu})}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & \frac{c_{\theta_1}c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{c_{\theta_1}c_{\theta_2}c_\mu^2(-3+c_{2\mu})}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & 0 & \frac{2c_\mu^2(-7+3c_{2\mu})+c_{2\theta_2}s_{2\mu}^2}{16 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & \frac{c_{\theta_2}c_\mu^2(-3+c_{2\mu})}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & \frac{c_{\theta_2}c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{c_{\theta_1}c_\mu^2(-3+c_{2\mu})}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & 0 & \frac{c_{\theta_2}c_\mu^2(-3+c_{2\mu})}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & \frac{c_\mu^2(-3+c_{2\mu})}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}(-2+c_{2\mu})} & \frac{c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{c_{\theta_1}c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} & 0 & \frac{c_{\theta_2}c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} & \frac{c_\mu^2}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} & \frac{(2-c_{2\mu})}{2 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.6)$$

where we use the simplified notation $c_{2\mu} \equiv \cos 2\mu$ and so on and let us introduce the angular coordinates $y^m \equiv (\mu, \theta_1, \phi_1, \theta_2, \phi_2, \psi, \phi)$.

Let us see what is the eigenfunction $Y(y^m)$ of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}$

$$\mathcal{L} Y(y^m) = -m^2 Y(y^m). \quad (4.7)$$

Then the equation (4.4) implies the equation of motion of a massive scalar field in AdS_4 :

$$\square_4 \hat{\Phi}(x^\mu, r) - m^2 \hat{\Phi}(x^\mu, r) = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore, one obtains a tower of KK modes which are all massive scalars (4.8) with masses m^2 determined by the eigenvalues of the above differential operator $\mathcal{L}$.

The spin-2 massive $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supermultiplet [44] at level n is described by the $SO(8)$ Dynkin labels $(n, 0, 0, 0)$, this breaks into the $SO(7)$ Dynkin labels $(0, 0, n)$, and finally the massive multiplets of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are decomposed into the various representations under the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ symmetry. In particular, one has, with the help of [34, 45],

$$\begin{aligned} SO(8) &\rightarrow SO(7) \rightarrow SU(4) \rightarrow SU(2)^2, \\ \mathbf{8}_v(1, 0, 0, 0) &\rightarrow \mathbf{8}(0, 0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{4}} \rightarrow 2(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), \\ \mathbf{35}_v(2, 0, 0, 0) &\rightarrow \mathbf{35}(0, 0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbf{10} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{10}} \oplus \mathbf{15} \rightarrow (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 4(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 3(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 3(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}), \\ \mathbf{112}_v(3, 0, 0, 0) &\rightarrow \mathbf{112}'(0, 0, 3) \rightarrow \mathbf{20}'' \oplus \overline{\mathbf{20}}'' \oplus \mathbf{36} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{36}} \\ &\rightarrow 2(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 4(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 6(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 6(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 4(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The differential operator acting on 7-dimensional manifold is given by (4.5) and this can be rewritten, from the metric (4.6) and the warp factor (2.9) with (2.12) and (2.8), in terms of angular coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{6\hat{L}^2}(-2 + \cos 2\mu) \sec^2 \mu \mathcal{C}_2 + \frac{1}{2\hat{L}^2} [\partial_\mu^2 + (\cot \mu - 5 \tan \mu) \partial_\mu \\ &+ (1 + \csc^2 \mu) \partial_\psi^2 + (2 + \csc^2 \mu) \partial_\phi^2 - 2(2 + \csc^2 \mu) \partial_\psi \partial_\phi], \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where the quadratic differential operator can be written as

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \equiv 6 \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sin \theta_i} \partial_{\theta_i} \sin \theta_i \partial_{\theta_i} + \left(\frac{1}{\sin \theta_i} \partial_{\phi_i} - \cot \theta_i \partial_\phi \right)^2 \right] + 9 \partial_\phi^2. \quad (4.11)$$

What are the corresponding eigenfunctions? It is known that the scalar spherical harmonic for D -sphere $\mathbf{S}^D$ is described by each independent component of totally symmetric traceless tensor of rank n . Also one can write down the eigenfunctions via the separation of variables as follows:

$$Y(y^m) = J_1(\theta_1) e^{im_1 \phi_1} J_2(\theta_2) e^{im_2 \phi_2} e^{\frac{i}{2} R_\phi \phi} e^{\frac{i}{2} R_\psi \psi} H(\cos^2 \mu). \quad (4.12)$$

Then the solution for $J_1(\theta_1)$ is a linear combination of the following two independent hypergeometric functions [46]

$$\begin{aligned} j_1(\theta_1) &= \sin^{m_1} \theta_1 \cot^{\frac{R_\phi}{2}} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{2} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(-l_1 + m_1, 1 + l_1 + m_1; 1 + m_1 - \frac{R_\phi}{2}; \sin^2 \frac{\theta_1}{2} \right), \\ j_2(\theta_1) &= \sin^{\frac{R_\phi}{2}} \theta_1 \cot^{m_1} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{2} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(-l_1 + \frac{R_\phi}{2}, 1 + l_1 + \frac{R_\phi}{2}; 1 - m_1 + \frac{R_\phi}{2}; \sin^2 \frac{\theta_1}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

When $m_1 \leq \frac{R_\phi}{2}$, the function $j_2(\theta_1)$ is non-singular while when $m_1 \geq \frac{R_\phi}{2}$, the function $j_1(\theta_1)$ is non-singular. Similarly, the solution for $J_2(\theta_2)$ is also a linear combination of these hypergeometric functions by replacing $\theta_1, m_1, l_1, R_\phi$ with $\theta_2, m_2, l_2, R_\psi$ respectively. The relevant eigenvalues for the above quadratic differential operator (4.11) can be computed explicitly as follows [47, 48]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C}_2 J_1(\theta_1) e^{im_1\phi_1} J_2(\theta_2) e^{im_2\phi_2} e^{\frac{i}{2}R_\phi\phi} \\ &= \left[-6l_1(l_1 + 1) - 6l_2(l_2 + 1) + \frac{3}{4}R_\phi^2 \right] J_1(\theta_1) e^{im_1\phi_1} J_2(\theta_2) e^{im_2\phi_2} e^{\frac{i}{2}R_\phi\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

It turns out that the eigenvalue problem (4.7) leads to the following nontrivial differential equation

$$(1 - u) u H''(u) + (3 - 4u) H'(u) + \left(A + \frac{B}{u - 1} + \frac{C}{u} \right) H(u) = 0, \quad u \equiv \cos^2 \mu, \quad (4.15)$$

where we introduce the following quantities

$$\begin{aligned} A &\equiv l_1(l_1 + 1) + l_2(l_2 + 1) - \frac{1}{4} \left(R_\phi - \frac{R_\psi}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \hat{L}^2, \\ B &\equiv \frac{1}{16} (R_\phi - R_\psi)^2, \quad C \equiv -\frac{3}{2} [l_1(l_1 + l_1) + l_2(l_2 + 1)] + \frac{3}{16} R_\phi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Note that the contribution from the $\mathcal{C}_2$ term in (4.10) occurs only in the term of $H(u)$ in (4.15) while the contributions from other terms in (4.10) occur all the terms in $H''(u)$, $H'(u)$ or $H(u)$ of (4.15). In general, the solutions for (4.15) can be written in terms of two independent hypergeometric functions but they are rather complicated due to the fact that the linear terms in $H(u)$ of (4.15) depend on the variable u , compared with [12, 19]. By introducing the R-charge which is a linear combination between R_ϕ and R_ψ with appropriate normalization from (2.15),

$$R \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(R_\phi - \frac{R_\psi}{2} \right), \quad (4.17)$$

and requiring that $R_\phi = R_\psi$ which leads to the vanishing of B in (4.16), one obtains the solutions in very simple form. The relative sign difference in (4.17) is due to the fact that the

combination $\phi + 2\psi$ should be invariant quantity under the two $U(1)$ symmetries, stressed in section 2. Then the KK spectrum of minimally coupled scalar can be obtained by putting the first argument of the hypergeometric function to be negative integer or zero $-j$ and solving for m^2 . Then the mass-squared in AdS_4 can be written, in terms of l_1, l_2, R and j , as

$$m^2 = \frac{1}{\hat{L}^2} \left[2j^2 + 2j(1 + \sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2}) - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{C}_2 + \sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2} - 2R^2 - 2 \right]. \quad (4.18)$$

Here $\mathcal{C}_2$ is an eigenvalue appearing in (4.14) $\mathcal{C}_2 \equiv -6l_1(l_1 + 1) - 6l_2(l_2 + 1) + 12R^2$. Then the regular solution for (4.15) is given by

$$H(u) = u^{\sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2} - 1} {}_2F_1 \left(-j, j + 1 + \sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2}; 1 + \sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2}; u \right), \quad u \equiv \cos^2 \mu. \quad (4.19)$$

Substituting this into the (4.12), one gets the eigenfunctions of (4.7). For $j = 0$, the hypergeometric function becomes u -independent constant and the contribution from $H(u)$ arises in $u^{\sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2} - 1}$. For $j = 1$, the hypergeometric function leads to $1 - \frac{2 + \sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2}}{1 + \sqrt{4 - \mathcal{C}_2}} u$. For general nonzero j , the hypergeometric function is a polynomial of order j in u .

The dimension of the CFT operators dual to the KK modes can be obtained from the AdS/CFT correspondence [3]

$$\Delta(\Delta - 3) = m^2 \hat{L}^2. \quad (4.20)$$

The $OSp(2|4)$ supermultiplets with spin-2 components are massless graviton multiplet with $SD(2, 1, 0|2)$ denoted by [35], short graviton multiplet with $SD(y_0 + 2, 1, y_0|2)$ where $y_0 > 0$ and long graviton multiplet with $SD(E_0, 1, y_0|2)$ where $E_0 > y_0 + 2$ and $y_0 \geq 0$. As recognized in [9], the massless graviton multiplet has conformal dimension $\Delta = 3$ (the ground state component has dimension $\Delta_0 = 2$ and see the Table 3 of previous section or the Table 5 of [9]). This $\mathcal{N} = 2$ massless graviton multiplet decomposes into $SD(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}|1) \oplus SD(2, 1|1)$ of $\mathcal{N} = 1$. Similarly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ short graviton multiplet $SD(y_0 + 2, 1, y_0|2)$ decomposes into $SD(y_0 + \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}|1) \oplus SD(y_0 + 2, 1|1)$. Since $y_0 > 0$, the spin-2 component of this multiplet has conformal dimension $\Delta = y_0 + 3 > 3$ and this gives massive modes according to (4.20). The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ long graviton multiplet $SD(E_0, 1, y_0|2)$ decomposes into $SD(E_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}|1) \oplus SD(E_0 + 1, 1|1) \oplus SD(E_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}|1) \oplus SD(E_0, 1|1)$. Also the spin-2 component of this multiplet has conformal dimension $\Delta = E_0 + 1 > y_0 + 3 > 3$ and this provides massive modes due to (4.20).

The gauge theory conjectured to be dual to the $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity background is a deformation of the quiver diagram for a partial resolution of $Q^{1,1,1}$ theory by a superpotential term quadratic in Φ (3.5) which is an adjoint field. The gauge theory has also $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry where the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ symmetry corresponds to

the global rotations of B_1, B_2 and C_1, C_2 in (3.1). Under the $U(1)_R$ symmetry, the four fields as well as an adjoint field have R-charges given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(B_1) = R(B_2) = R(C_1) = R(C_2) &= \frac{1}{4}, & R(\Phi) &= 1, \\ R(\overline{B}_1) = R(\overline{B}_2) = R(\overline{C}_1) = R(\overline{C}_2) &= -\frac{1}{4}, & R(\overline{\Phi}) &= -1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

as in previous section, around (3.6).

In Tables 4 and 5, we present a few of these modes and also provide the dual gauge theory operators. The branching rule for $SO(8)$ into $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ is given by (4.9). The quantum numbers l_1 and l_2 of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ is characterized by each representation $(\mathbf{2l}_1 + \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{2l}_2 + \mathbf{1})$ as before. The KK excitation mode j is nonnegative integer for the finiteness of hypergeometric function. The conformal dimension of dual SCFT operator is given by (4.20). As the mass-squared formula is used from (4.18), then this conformal dimension is determined. Starting with the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operator denoted by $T_{\alpha\beta}$ corresponding to the massless graviton multiplet we introduced in previous section, one constructs a tower of KK modes by multiplying B_i or C_j (and its conjugated fields) with $T_{\alpha\beta}$ in addition to the overall factor which depends on Φ (and $\overline{\Phi}$), for $j = 0$ modes. For nonzero j 's, there appear some polynomials in $\Phi\overline{\Phi}$.

It is natural to identify, up to normalization, these fields B_i and C_j and the adjoint field Φ , that are noncommuting operators in the gauge theory side, with the angular coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\leftrightarrow \cos\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\phi+\phi_1)}, & B_2 &\leftrightarrow \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\phi-\phi_1)}, \\ C_1 &\leftrightarrow \cos\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\phi_2)}, & C_2 &\leftrightarrow \sin\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi-\phi_2)}, \\ \Phi &\leftrightarrow \sin\mu e^{-i\psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Actually first four of them can be read off from (2.3) in the context of conifold description. The last one in (4.22) can be read off from (2.5). If we shift the fields by (2.4), then the corresponding objects also arise in the Tables 4 and 5. Note that the factor $\sqrt{\cos\mu}$ corresponds to $(1 - \Phi\overline{\Phi})^{\frac{1}{4}}$ in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ boundary field theory.

One can see these features from the solutions of Laplacian eigenvalue equation we have found. From the explicit form in (4.13), one computes the following expressions, by choosing the appropriate regular solutions, for given quantum numbers

$$\begin{aligned} j_1(\theta_1)|_{m_1=\frac{1}{2}, l_1=\frac{1}{2}, R_\phi=1} &\sim \cos\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right), & j_2(\theta_1)|_{m_1=-\frac{1}{2}, l_1=\frac{1}{2}, R_\phi=1} &\sim \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right), \\ j_1(\theta_2)|_{m_2=\frac{1}{2}, l_2=\frac{1}{2}, R_\psi=1} &\sim \cos\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right), & j_2(\theta_2)|_{m_2=-\frac{1}{2}, l_2=\frac{1}{2}, R_\psi=1} &\sim \sin\left(\frac{\theta_2}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Then it is easy to see that these four solutions of (4.23) appear in the right hand sides of (4.22) exactly. Therefore, the eigenfunctions except the function $H(\cos^2 \mu)$ in (4.12) correspond to B_i or C_j and its conjugated fields. Note that the exponential factors of (4.12) already appear in the chiral fields given by (4.22).

Let us describe the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operators in Tables 4 and 5. In the representations $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{1}{4}}$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{\pm \frac{1}{4}}$ coming from $\mathbf{8}_v$ of $SO(8)$ representation, one can read off the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operators by using the fact that each B_i and C_j consists of a doublet of each $SU(2)$ group and the μ -dependent part can be determined by (4.19) for given quantum numbers. For example, $l_1 = \frac{1}{2}, m_1 = \pm \frac{1}{2}, R_\phi = \pm 1 = 4R$ corresponding to $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{1}{4}}$. Recall that $u \equiv \cos^2 \mu$ corresponds to $(1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})$ and the eigenvalue $\mathcal{C}_2$ does not depend on the quantum number m_1 or m_2 . Since the KK excitation number vanishes $j = 0$, the μ dependence arises from only $u^{\sqrt{4-\mathcal{C}_2}-1}$. Note that the eigenvalue (4.14) has a symmetry under the $l_1 \leftrightarrow l_2$ or under the $R \leftrightarrow -R$.

At the next level, the additional structure in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operator, compared to the $j = 0$ case, corresponding to the representation $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$ with nonzero $j = 1$ can be constructed from (4.19) by substituting $j = 1$. In this case, we should go to the differential equation (4.15) directly in order to obtain the correct solution. The solution is given by $H(u) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}[3 - \sqrt{9 + 2m^2\hat{L}^2}], \frac{1}{2}[3 + \sqrt{9 + 2m^2\hat{L}^2}]; 3; u\right)$. Now we move on the other representations $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0}$. Since $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})$ transforms as an adjoint representation of the first $SU(2)$, this can be constructed by a tensor product between $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ which becomes $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$. Depending on the correct R-charges (4.21), one determines the appropriate combinations of B_i and $\bar{B}_j$. Moreover, the μ -dependent factor can be determined by (4.19) for given quantum numbers. For example, let us consider the quantum numbers $l_1 = 1, l_2 = 0, R_\phi = \pm 2, 0 = 4R$. For the representations $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0}$, one sees that the tensor product between $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$ provides these particular cases. In this case, the exponent of μ -dependent factor appears in two different values by (4.19) depending on vanishing R-charge (4.17) or non-vanishing R-charge for given quantum numbers, i.e., $l_1 = \frac{1}{2} = l_2, R_\phi = \pm 2, 0 = 4R$. Finally, the analysis for the representations $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0}$ can be done similarly, as in $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0}$.

At the next level, the extra structure in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operator, compared to $j = 0$ case, corresponding to the representations $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{1}{4}}$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{\pm \frac{1}{4}}$ with nonzero $j = 1$ can be analyzed from (4.19) by substituting $j = 1$ from the hypergeometric function. Let us move on the other representations $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm \frac{3}{4}, \pm \frac{1}{4}}$. Since $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})$ transforms as a symmetric representation of the first $SU(2)$, this can be determined by a tensor product between $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$ which leads to $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$. Depending on the correct R-charges, one can determine the

$SO(8)$	$SU(2)_R^2$	R_ϕ	R_ψ	j	$m^2 \hat{L}^2$	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT Operator
1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$	0	0	0	0	$T_{\alpha\beta}$
8_v	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{31} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} B_i (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{31} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{B}_i (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{31} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} C_i (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{31} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{C}_i (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4} - 1}$
35_v	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$	0	0	1	8	$T_{\alpha\beta} (-1 + 4\Phi \bar{\Phi})$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{+\frac{1}{2}}$	2	2	0	$\sqrt{13} - 1$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (B_i B_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} B_k B_k) (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_0$	0	0	0	4	$T_{\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{B}_i \bar{\mathcal{B}}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} B_k \bar{B}_k) (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	-2	-2	0	$\sqrt{13} - 1$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{B}_i \bar{B}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \bar{B}_k \bar{B}_k) (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{+\frac{1}{2}}$	2	2	0	$\sqrt{10} - \frac{3}{2}$	$T_{\alpha\beta} B_i C_j (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0$	0	0	0	$\sqrt{13} - \frac{1}{2}$	$T_{\alpha\beta} B_i \bar{C}_j (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0$	0	0	0	$\sqrt{13} - \frac{1}{2}$	$T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{B}_i C_j (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	-2	-2	0	$\sqrt{10} - \frac{3}{2}$	$T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{B}_i \bar{C}_j (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{+\frac{1}{2}}$	2	2	0	$\sqrt{13} - 1$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (C_i C_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} C_k C_k) (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} - 1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_0$	0	0	0	4	$T_{\alpha\beta} (C_i \bar{C}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} C_k \bar{C}_k) (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	-2	-2	0	$\sqrt{13} - 1$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{C}_i \bar{C}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \bar{C}_k \bar{C}_k) (1 - \Phi \bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{13}}{2} - 1}$

Table 4: The first few spin-2 components of the massive (and massless) graviton multiplets. For each multiplet we present $SO(8)$ representation (4.9), $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ representations denoted by $(\mathbf{2l}_1 + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2l}_2 + \mathbf{1})_R$, R_ϕ -charge, R_ψ -charge, the KK excitation number j , the mass-squared $m^2 \hat{L}^2$ (4.18) of the AdS_4 field and the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dual SCFT operator. The dimension Δ (4.20) of the spin-2 component of the multiplet we do not present here due to the space can be obtained also.

appropriate triple-product combinations of B_i and $\overline{B}_j$. Moreover, the μ -dependent factor can be determined by (4.19) for given quantum numbers, i.e., $l_1 = \frac{3}{2}, l_2 = 0, R_\phi = \pm 3, \pm 1 = 4R$. For the representations $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{\pm\frac{3}{4}, \pm\frac{1}{4}, \pm\frac{1}{4}}$, the tensor product between $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$ provides these cases: the product between the adjoint from the first $SU(2)$ and the fundamental from the second $SU(2)$. The exponent of μ -dependent factor appears in two different values by (4.19) depending on $\pm\frac{3}{4}$ R-charges or $\pm\frac{1}{4}$ R-charges for given quantum numbers, i.e., $l_1 = 1, l_2 = \frac{1}{2}, R_\phi = \pm 3, \pm 1$. Finally, the analysis for the representations $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{\pm\frac{3}{4}, \pm\frac{1}{4}, \pm\frac{1}{4}}$ and $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{\pm\frac{3}{4}, \pm\frac{1}{4}}$ can be done similarly by using the symmetry between the quantum numbers.

One can extend these procedures to the higher excitations. For example, at the level of $n = 4$ excitation, by extending the procedure in (4.9) one step further, one has the following branching rules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{294}_v(4, 0, 0, 0) &\rightarrow \mathbf{294}(0, 0, 4) \rightarrow \mathbf{35} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{35}} \oplus \mathbf{70} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{70}} \oplus \mathbf{84} \\ &\rightarrow [(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 3(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 4(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 3(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})] \oplus 5(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 8(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 9(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 8(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}) \oplus 5(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Then one obtains KK excitation $j = 2$ state for $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$ and $j = 1$ states for $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}), (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$ inside of bracket in (4.24). The remaining five independent new states with $j = 0$ arise also in this level. One can analyze also the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operators. For example, some(three states from nine possible states) of the wavefunctions for $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ with $R = 0$ corresponding to $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha\beta} B_1 \overline{B}_2 C_1 \overline{C}_2 (1 - \Phi \overline{\Phi})^{\sqrt{7}-1} &\leftrightarrow T_{\alpha\beta} e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 (1 - \Phi \overline{\Phi})^{\sqrt{7}-1}, \\ T_{\alpha\beta} (B_1 \overline{B}_1 - C_1 \overline{C}_1) C_1 \overline{C}_2 (1 - \Phi \overline{\Phi})^{\sqrt{7}-1} &\leftrightarrow T_{\alpha\beta} e^{i\phi_2} \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 (1 - \Phi \overline{\Phi})^{\sqrt{7}-1}, \\ T_{\alpha\beta} B_2 \overline{B}_1 C_2 \overline{C}_1 (1 - \Phi \overline{\Phi})^{\sqrt{7}-1} &\leftrightarrow T_{\alpha\beta} e^{-i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)} \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 (1 - \Phi \overline{\Phi})^{\sqrt{7}-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where the corresponding (m_1, m_2) values are $(1, 1), (0, 1)$ and $(-1, -1)$ respectively [46]. One can easily see these from (4.13) or (4.22). For the first case of (4.25), we take $j_1(\theta_1)$ which is equal to $\sin \theta_1$ and $j_1(\theta_2)$ which is equal to $\sin \theta_2$, as an eigenfunctions. For the second case, we take $j_1(\theta_1)$ which is equal to $\cos \theta_1$ and $j_1(\theta_2)$ that is equal to $\sin \theta_2$. For the last, we take $j_2(\theta_1)$ that is $\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_1$ and $j_2(\theta_2)$ that is $\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_2$, as the regular solutions. Note that the regularity of the solutions (4.13) depend on the magnitude of (m_1, m_2) with respect to the R charge. In general, one expects that for general quantum numbers l_1, l_2 and R of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$, the operator is given by the product of $T_{\alpha\beta}$ with several B_i or C_j 's (and its conjugated fields) and some function of $\Phi \overline{\Phi}$. For nonzero j 's, the explicit form of hypergeometric functions is needed to identify the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operators and there exists a polynomial up to the order j in $\Phi \overline{\Phi}$.

$SO(8)$	$SU(2)_R^2$	R_ϕ	R_ψ	j	$m^2 \hat{L}^2$	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT Operator
112_v	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}(3\sqrt{31} + 5)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} B_i (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4}-1} [1 - (2 + \frac{\sqrt{31}}{2})\Phi\bar{\Phi}]$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	1	$\frac{1}{2}(3\sqrt{31} + 5)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{B}_i (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4}-1} [1 - (2 + \frac{\sqrt{31}}{2})\Phi\bar{\Phi}]$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}(3\sqrt{31} + 5)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} C_i (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4}-1} [1 - (2 + \frac{\sqrt{31}}{2})\Phi\bar{\Phi}]$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	1	$\frac{1}{2}(3\sqrt{31} + 5)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} \bar{C}_i (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{31}}{4}-1} [1 - (2 + \frac{\sqrt{31}}{2})\Phi\bar{\Phi}]$
	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{+\frac{3}{4}}$	3	3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} - 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [B_{(i} B_{j)} B_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} B_{j)} B_l B_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{103} + 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [B_{(i} B_{j)} \bar{B}_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} B_{j)} B_l \bar{B}_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{103}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{103} + 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [B_{(i} \bar{B}_{j)} \bar{B}_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} B_{j)} \bar{B}_l \bar{B}_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{103}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{1})_{-\frac{3}{4}}$	-3	-3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} - 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [\bar{B}_{(i} \bar{B}_{j)} \bar{B}_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} \bar{B}_{j)} \bar{B}_l \bar{B}_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{+\frac{3}{4}}$	3	3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{55} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (B_i B_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} B_l B_l) C_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{55}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (B_i \bar{B}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} B_l \bar{B}_l) C_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (B_i \bar{B}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} B_l \bar{B}_l) \bar{C}_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (B_i B_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} B_l B_l) \bar{C}_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{B}_i \bar{B}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \bar{B}_l \bar{B}_l) C_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{-\frac{3}{4}}$	-3	-3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{55} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{B}_i \bar{B}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \bar{B}_l \bar{B}_l) \bar{C}_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{55}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{+\frac{3}{4}}$	3	3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{55} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (C_i C_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} C_l C_l) B_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{55}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (C_i \bar{C}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} C_l \bar{C}_l) B_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (C_i \bar{C}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} C_l \bar{C}_l) \bar{B}_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (C_i C_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} C_l C_l) \bar{B}_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} + 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{C}_i \bar{C}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \bar{C}_l \bar{C}_l) B_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{-\frac{3}{4}}$	-3	-3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{55} - 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{C}_i \bar{C}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \bar{C}_l \bar{C}_l) \bar{B}_k (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{55}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4})_{+\frac{3}{4}}$	3	3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} - 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [C_{(i} C_{j)} C_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} C_{j)} C_l C_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4})_{+\frac{1}{4}}$	1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{103} + 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [C_{(i} C_{j)} \bar{C}_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} C_{j)} C_l \bar{C}_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{103}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4})_{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-1	-1	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{103} + 3)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [C_{(i} \bar{C}_{j)} \bar{C}_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} C_{j)} \bar{C}_l \bar{C}_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{103}}{4}-1}$
	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4})_{-\frac{3}{4}}$	-3	-3	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{79} - 1)$	$T_{\alpha\beta} [\bar{C}_{(i} \bar{C}_{j)} \bar{C}_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k(i} \bar{C}_{j)} \bar{C}_l \bar{C}_l] (1 - \Phi\bar{\Phi})^{\frac{\sqrt{79}}{4}-1}$

Table 5: The next level of spin-2 component of the massive graviton multiplets. For each multiplet we present $SO(8)$ representation (4.9), $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ representations denoted by $(\mathbf{2l}_1 + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2l}_2 + \mathbf{1})_R$, R_ϕ -charge, R_ψ -charge, the KK excitation number j , the mass-squared $m^2 \hat{L}^2$ (4.18) of the AdS_4 field and the corresponding $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT operator. The dimension Δ (4.20) of the spin-2 component of the multiplet we do not present here due to the space can be obtained also.

5 Conclusions and outlook

We have elaborated the 11-dimensional background geometry originated from [8]. In particular, we have presented the correct expression for 3-form potential and some relations for $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry are also given. We have made the relations between the fields of AdS_4 supergravity and composite operators of the IR boundary gauge theory in Tables 1, 2 and 3. We have computed the KK reduction for spin-2 excitations around the warped 11-dimensional theory background that is dual to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mass-deformed Chern-Simons matter theory with $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry. The spectrum of spin-2 excitations was given by solving the equations of motion for minimally coupled scalar theory in this background. The AdS_4 mass formula of the KK modes is given by (4.18). The quantum number l_1, l_2 and R for $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ representation and the KK excitation number j arise in this mass formula. We calculated the dimensions of the dual operators in the boundary $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT via AdS/CFT correspondence and in Tables 4 and 5 we presented the summary of this work.

As observed in [8], at $\mu = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the metric has conical singularity from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4). That is, the apex or node is a double point, i.e., a singularity for which $C = 0$ and $dC = 0$ where C is a complex manifold (2.2) but for which the matrix of second derivatives is nondegenerate. Then the $\mathbf{S}^7$ degenerate to the conifold times $\mathbf{S}^1$. The solution by the metric $T^{1,1}$ can be related, via T-duality, to the Romans' type IIB supergravity theory in 10-dimensions [31]. Furthermore, this leads to the nontrivial Klebanov-Witten fixed point of the holographic RG flow in [2]. This is related to the fact that there exists a flow between a space that is locally $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$ and the $AdS_4 \times \frac{\mathbf{S}^7}{\mathbf{Z}_k}$ geometry, mentioned in [1]. By adding a flux to this solution and squashing and stretching ellipsoidally, the theory can flow to other nontrivial fixed point. One of the candidates is given by Pilch-Warner fixed point in [49]. The gravity solution interpolating these two fixed points has been constructed in [50]. It would be interesting to elaborate on these issues.

The quadratic equation (2.2) is so-called A_1 conifold. It is an open problem to generalize this singularity to ADE type singularities discussed in [51]. For this approach, one needs to use the Einstein-Kähler metric on del Pezzo surface $dP_k, k \geq 3$. According to [28], $\mathcal{C}(T^{1,1}) \times \mathbf{C}$ can be obtained from either $\frac{\mathbf{C}^3}{\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2} \times \mathbf{C}$ or $\mathcal{C}(dP_3) \times \mathbf{C}$, in which their superpotentials are the same for abelian theory and their quiver diagrams looks similar to each other, by partial resolutions. It would be interesting to find out the corresponding gravity duals.

In the context of 4-dimensional gauged supergravity, it is known that very few of critical points (supersymmetric or non-supersymmetric) are found although there are 70 scalar fields.

The lesson we have learned from [8] is when we go to 11-dimensional theory, maybe we will find various 11-dimensional solutions even if the 4-dimensional flow equations are the same. For given 7-dimensional Sasaki-Einstein spaces, one can think of the possibilities to have resolved cone over these spaces and in the gravity side, the correct 11-dimensional metric should be found with appropriate field strengths. We expect that since the flow equations in 4-dimensions are related to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry with $U(1)_R$ charge, other types of 11-dimensional solutions with common 4-dimensional flow equations will arise.

One possibility is characterized by the $SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry which is smaller than $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$. The symmetry breaking of $SU(2) \times U(1)$ can occur from either the $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry or $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry. In this case, the metric corresponding to $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^2$ should preserve only one $\mathbf{S}^2$ symmetry.

Another possibility is given by the $SU(3) \times U(1) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry which is larger than $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry and can be obtained from the symmetry breaking of $SU(4) \times U(1)_R$. Since there exists an extra $U(1)$ factor, it is nontrivial to find out the correct 4-form fields that preserve the whole $SU(3) \times U(1) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry. Note that the 4-forms in [8], that are given by (C.1), breaks the $SU(3) \times U(1) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry group to $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$. It would be interesting to study these issues more detail.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank S. Franco, Sangmin Lee and F.D. Rocha for discussions. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST)(No. 2009-0084601).

Appendix A The 4-form field strength and the Ricci tensor in frame basis for $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ -invariant case

The set of frames for the 11-dimensional metric (2.6) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e^1 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} e^A dx^1, & e^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} e^A dx^2, & e^3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} e^A dx^3, & e^4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} dr, \\
e^5 &= 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\rho^3} d\mu, \\
e^6 &= 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \rho \cos \mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} d\theta_1, \\
e^7 &= 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \rho \cos \mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sin \theta_1 d\phi_1, \\
e^8 &= 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \rho \cos \mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} d\theta_2, \\
e^9 &= 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \rho \cos \mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sin \theta_2 d\phi_2, \\
e^{10} &= 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\rho^5}{2\sqrt{X}} \sin 2\mu \left[-\frac{d\psi}{\rho^8} + \frac{1}{3}(d\psi + d\phi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2) \right], \\
e^{11} &= 3^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{L}^2 \Delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\rho \cosh \chi}{\sqrt{X}} \left[\sin^2 \mu d\psi + \frac{1}{3} \cos^2 \mu (d\psi + d\phi + \cos \theta_1 d\phi_1 + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where ² we use (2.8), (2.9) and (2.12) with the AdS_4 radius $\hat{L} \equiv 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} L$.

It turns out that the antisymmetric field strengths have the following nonzero components

² The general formula for $C^{(3)}$ used in [12] satisfying the whole $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ -invariant flow equation is given by

$$C^{(3)} = \frac{3^{\frac{9}{4}} \hat{L}^3 \tanh \chi}{4X} \left(3z^{[1} dz^2 \wedge dz^3] \wedge d\bar{w} - \rho^8 \bar{w} dz^1 \wedge dz^2 \wedge dz^3 \right) \tag{A.2}$$

which is a complex conjugate of $C_{CPW}^{(3)}$ in [8] and the definitions for the complex coordinates z_i and w are given in [12]. This also occurs in the last equation of section 4 of [8] which looks similar to (A.2). Note that there exist some differences in the overall coefficient and the ρ dependence with $3^{\frac{9}{4}} \hat{L}^3 = L_{CPW}^3$. One can check (A.2) from the “corrected” (there should be a plus sign in e^{10}) 3-form given in [8] by changing the rectangular coordinates to the angular ones. If we substitute (2.12), the criticality condition at the IR, into (A.2), then we obtain $C^{(3)} = \frac{3^{\frac{11}{4}} \hat{L}^3}{4(|z_i|^2 + 3|w|^2)} (z^{[1} dz^2 \wedge dz^3] \wedge d\bar{w} - \bar{w} dz^1 \wedge dz^2 \wedge dz^3)$ which is the same as (12) of [12].

in the orthonormal frame basis used in (2.13) or in (A.1) ³

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{1234} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\hat{L}(2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{4}{3}}}, & F_{568\,10} &= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \sin(\phi + 2\psi) \sin 2\mu}{\hat{L}(2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{4}{3}}} = -F_{579\,10}, \\
F_{568\,11} &= -\frac{2^{\frac{5}{6}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \sin(\phi + 2\psi)}{\hat{L}(2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{1}{3}}} = -F_{579\,11} = -F_{69\,10\,11} = -F_{78\,10\,11}, \\
F_{569\,10} &= -\frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \cos(\phi + 2\psi) \sin 2\mu}{\hat{L}(2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{4}{3}}} = F_{578\,10}, \\
F_{569\,11} &= \frac{2^{\frac{5}{6}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \cos(\phi + 2\psi)}{\hat{L}(2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{1}{3}}} = F_{578\,11} = F_{68\,10\,11} = -F_{79\,10\,11},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where the angle-dependences for ϕ and ψ appear in the combination of $(\phi + 2\psi)$. One can make the two $U(1)$ symmetries generated by ϕ and ψ which preserve this combination $(\phi + 2\psi)$. After substituting (A.3) into the right hand side of Einstein equation (2.1) with frame basis (A.1) one reproduces the one of $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ case [8, 12] exactly. On the other hand, the Ricci tensor in the frame basis (A.1) is identical to the one with $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ symmetry in [8]. Therefore, one concludes that the solutions (A.3) indeed satisfy the 11-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell equations. Let us present the Ricci tensor components with frame basis here for convenience.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^1 &= -\frac{(55 - 32 \cos 2\mu + 3 \cos 4\mu)}{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{8}{3}}} = R_2^2 = R_3^3 = R_4^4 = -2R_6^6 = -2R_7^7 = -2R_8^8 = -2R_9^9, \\
R_5^5 &= \frac{(29 - 16 \cos 2\mu)}{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{8}{3}}} = R_{10}^{10}, & R_{10}^{11} &= -\frac{2 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{6}} \sin 2\mu}{\sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{5}{3}}} = R_{11}^{10}, \\
R_{11}^{11} &= \frac{(80 - 64 \cos 2\mu + 9 \cos 4\mu)}{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - \cos 2\mu)^{\frac{8}{3}}},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

and other components vanish. This implies that the Einstein-Maxwell equations (2.1) with frame basis are satisfied ⁴. In Appendix B, we write down the Ricci tensor and 4-forms in the coordinate basis and in Appendix C, we present the 4-forms in the frame basis for $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ -invariant case.

³Let us mention that although these 4-forms satisfy the whole RG flows, we are interested in the critical values (2.12), then the supergravity fields dependence does not appear. In principle, one can write down the general 4-forms with (ρ, χ) dependence from (2.13) and (2.14) explicitly where one should use the RG flow equations [4]. The corresponding Ricci tensor components with (ρ, χ) dependence can be obtained from (2.6) or (A.1).

⁴Also let us mention that the 3-form potential appeared between (4.28) and (4.29) in [8] has an error in the sign of e^{10} . See also (C.2). After this correction, the 3-form of [8] is exactly the complex conjugation of 3-form in [12]. Since the full 3-form has its complex conjugation, eventually the 4-form [8] is the same as the one in [12].

Appendix B The Ricci tensor and the 4-form field strength in coordinate basis for $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ -invariant case

The $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_R$ -invariant 11-dimensional metric (2.6) explained in section 2 is given by

$$ds_{11}^2 = \Delta^{-1} (dr^2 + e^{2A(r)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu) + 3^{\frac{2}{3}} \hat{L}^2 \sqrt{\Delta} ds_7^2(\rho, \chi). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

This (B.1) generates the Ricci tensor components in the coordinate basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_1^1 &= \frac{(-252 + 241c_{2\mu} - 44c_{4\mu} + 3c_{6\mu})}{6 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{11}{3}}} = \hat{R}_2^2 = \hat{R}_3^3 = \hat{R}_4^4 = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{R}_6^6 = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{R}_8^8, \\ \hat{R}_5^5 &= \frac{(29 - 16c_{2\mu})}{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{8}{3}}}, \quad \hat{R}_7^7 = \frac{(55 - 32c_{2\mu} + 3c_{4\mu})}{6 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{8}{3}}} = \hat{R}_9^9, \\ \hat{R}_7^{10} &= \frac{c_{\theta_1} c_\mu^2 (21 - 14c_{2\mu} + c_{4\mu})}{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{14}{3}}}, \quad \hat{R}_7^{11} = \frac{c_{\theta_1} (-3 + c_{2\mu}) (-3c_\mu + c_{3\mu})^2}{2 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{14}{3}}}, \\ \hat{R}_9^{10} &= \frac{c_{\theta_2} c_\mu^2 (21 - 14c_{2\mu} + c_{4\mu})}{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{14}{3}}}, \quad \hat{R}_9^{11} = \frac{c_{\theta_2} (-3 + c_{2\mu}) (-3c_\mu + c_{3\mu})^2}{2 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{14}{3}}}, \\ \hat{R}_{10}^{10} &= -\frac{(207 + 208c_{2\mu} - 41c_{4\mu} + 3c_{6\mu})}{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{11}{3}}}, \quad \hat{R}_{10}^{11} = -\frac{4}{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{2}{3}}}, \\ \hat{R}_{11}^{10} &= \frac{c_\mu^2 (21 - 14c_{2\mu} + c_{4\mu})}{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{14}{3}}}, \quad \hat{R}_{11}^{11} = \frac{(20 - 22c_{2\mu} + 3c_{4\mu})}{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{3} \hat{L}^2 (2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{8}{3}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Or from the orthonormal basis (A.1) where $e^a = e_m^a dy^m$ and the Ricci tensor components (A.4) with that basis, one obtains (B.2) via $\hat{R}_{mn} = e_m^a e_n^b R_{ab}$. In this basis, the Ricci tensor also depends on the angular coordinates θ_1 and θ_2 . From the (A.3) in the frame basis, one

also writes down them in the coordinate basis using $\hat{F}_{mnpq} = e_m^a e_n^b e_p^c e_q^d F_{abcd}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{F}_{1234} &= -\frac{9 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{\frac{3r}{L}}}{2\hat{L}}, & \hat{F}_{5678} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\theta_1} c_{\mu}^4 s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, \\
\hat{F}_{5679} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\theta_1} s_{\theta_2} c_{\mu}^4 c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, & \hat{F}_{5689} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\theta_2} c_{\mu}^4 s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, \\
\hat{F}_{56810} &= -3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^2 s_{\phi+2\psi}, & \hat{F}_{56811} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^4 s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, \\
\hat{F}_{56910} &= 3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^2 s_{\theta_2} c_{\phi+2\psi}, & \hat{F}_{56911} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^4 s_{\theta_2} c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, \\
\hat{F}_{5789} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^4 c_{\theta_2} s_{\theta_1} c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, & \hat{F}_{57810} &= 3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^2 s_{\theta_1} c_{\phi+2\psi}, \\
\hat{F}_{57811} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^4 s_{\theta_1} c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, & \hat{F}_{57910} &= 3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^2 s_{\theta_1} s_{\theta_2} s_{\phi+2\psi}, \\
\hat{F}_{57911} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^4 s_{\theta_1} s_{\theta_2} s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(2 - c_{2\mu})^2}, & \hat{F}_{67810} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} c_{\theta_1} c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}, \\
\hat{F}_{67910} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} c_{\theta_1} s_{\theta_2} s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}, & \hat{F}_{68910} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} c_{\theta_2} c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}, \\
\hat{F}_{681011} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}, & \hat{F}_{691011} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} s_{\theta_2} s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}, \\
\hat{F}_{78910} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} s_{\theta_1} c_{\theta_2} s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}, & \hat{F}_{781011} &= \frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} s_{\theta_1} s_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}, \\
\hat{F}_{791011} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} \hat{L}^3 c_{\mu}^3 s_{\mu} s_{\theta_1} s_{\theta_2} c_{\phi+2\psi}}{(-2 + c_{2\mu})}. & &
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

In this basis, the 4-form fields depend on the angular coordinates θ_1 and θ_2 as well as μ, ϕ and ψ . One sees that the 4-forms in (B.3) contain the combination of $(\phi + 2\psi)$ indicating that these 4-forms preserve $U(1)_R$ charge: $\phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\gamma$ and $\psi \rightarrow \psi - \gamma$ explained in (2.15).

Appendix C The 4-form field strength in frame basis for $SU(3) \times U(1)_R$ -invariant case

Let us present the 4-form fields in the frame basis used in [8]:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{1234} &= -\frac{3 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{4}{3}}}, & F_{56710} &= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} s_{3\phi+4\psi} (-3c_\mu + c_{3\mu}) s_\mu}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{7}{3}}} = F_{58910}, \\
F_{56711} &= \frac{2^{\frac{5}{6}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} s_{3\phi+4\psi}}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{1}{3}}} = F_{58911} = F_{681011}, & F_{56810} &= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} c_{3\phi+4\psi} (-3c_\mu + c_{3\mu}) s_\mu}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{7}{3}}}, \\
F_{56811} &= \frac{2^{\frac{5}{6}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} c_{3\phi+4\psi}}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{1}{3}}}, & F_{57910} &= -\frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} c_{3\phi+4\psi} (-3c_\mu + c_{3\mu}) s_\mu}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{7}{3}}}, \\
F_{57911} &= -\frac{2^{\frac{5}{6}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} c_{3\phi+4\psi}}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{1}{3}}} = F_{671011} = F_{891011}, & F_{791011} &= -\frac{2^{\frac{5}{6}} \cdot 3^{\frac{3}{4}} s_{3\phi+4\psi}}{\hat{L}(2 - c_{2\mu})^{\frac{1}{3}}}. \tag{C.1}
\end{aligned}$$

This can be obtained from the 3-form potential

$$C^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \sinh \chi e^{i(-3\phi-4\psi)} (e^5 + ie^{10}) \wedge (e^6 - ie^9) \wedge (e^7 - ie^8), \tag{C.2}$$

and (2.14) where the orthonormal frame basis used in (C.2) is given in [8]. In (C.1), the angle-dependences on ϕ and ψ arise in the combination of $(3\phi + 4\psi)$ where $\phi \rightarrow \frac{4}{3}\gamma$ and $\psi \rightarrow \psi - \gamma$ and these disappear by squaring 4-forms in the right hand side of 11-dimensional Einstein equation (2.1). This is consistent with the fact that the left hand side of Einstein equation is given by (A.4) which do not depend on these angular variables. One obtains also the corresponding 4-forms and Ricci tensor components in the coordinate basis using $\hat{R}_{mn} = e_m^a e_n^b R_{ab}$ and $\hat{F}_{mnpq} = e_m^a e_n^b e_p^c e_q^d F_{abcd}$ as mentioned before from (C.1) and (A.4). It turns out that they depend on the angular variables α_1 and θ . In particular, the 4-forms contain the trigonometric functions with the argument $(\alpha_3 + 3\phi + 4\psi)$. By substituting these into the 11-dimensional Einstein equation, one sees that the dependence on $(\alpha_3 + 3\phi + 4\psi)$ completely disappears in the right hand side of equation. This is also consistent with the fact that there is no dependence on α_3, ϕ and ψ in the Ricci tensor components in the left hand side of equation.

References

- [1] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, “N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals,” JHEP **0810**, 091 (2008). [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].

- [2] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, “Superconformal field theory on threebranes at a Calabi-Yau singularity,” Nucl. Phys. B **536**, 199 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9807080].
- [3] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999)] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200]; E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150]; S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,” Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802109].
- [4] C. Ahn and J. Paeng, “Three-dimensional SCFTs, supersymmetric domain wall and renormalization group flow,” Nucl. Phys. B **595**, 119 (2001). [arXiv:hep-th/0008065].
- [5] C. Ahn and K. Woo, “Supersymmetric domain wall and RG flow from 4-dimensional gauged $N = 8$ supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **599**, 83 (2001). [arXiv:hep-th/0011121].
- [6] C. Ahn and T. Itoh, “An $N = 1$ supersymmetric $G(2)$ -invariant flow in M-theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **627**, 45 (2002). [arXiv:hep-th/0112010].
- [7] C. Ahn and S. J. Rey, “More CFTs and RG flows from deforming M2/M5-brane horizon,” Nucl. Phys. B **572**, 188 (2000). [arXiv:hep-th/9911199].
- [8] R. Corrado, K. Pilch and N. P. Warner, “An $N = 2$ supersymmetric membrane flow,” Nucl. Phys. B **629**, 74 (2002). [arXiv:hep-th/0107220].
- [9] C. Ahn, “Holographic Supergravity Dual to Three Dimensional $N=2$ Gauge Theory,” JHEP **0808**, 083 (2008). [arXiv:0806.1420 [hep-th]].
- [10] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose and M. Smedback, “Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and AdS_4/CFT_3 Correspondence,” JHEP **0809**, 072 (2008). [arXiv:0806.1519 [hep-th]].
- [11] I. Klebanov, T. Klose and A. Murugan, “ AdS_4/CFT_3 – Squashed, Stretched and Warped,” JHEP **0903**, 140 (2009). [arXiv:0809.3773 [hep-th]].
- [12] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and F. D. Rocha, “The Squashed, Stretched, and Warped Gets Perturbed,” JHEP **0906**, 019 (2009) [arXiv:0904.1009 [hep-th]].
- [13] C. Ahn, “Towards Holographic Gravity Dual of $N=1$ Superconformal Chern-Simons Gauge Theory,” JHEP **0807**, 101 (2008). [arXiv:0806.4807 [hep-th]].

- [14] C. Ahn, “Comments on Holographic Gravity Dual of N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Gauge Theory,” JHEP **0903**, 107 (2009). [arXiv:0812.4363 [hep-th]].
- [15] N. Bobev, N. Halmagyi, K. Pilch and N. P. Warner, “Holographic, N=1 Supersymmetric RG Flows on M2 Branes,” arXiv:0901.2736 [hep-th].
- [16] C. Ahn and K. Woo, “N=8 Gauged Supergravity Theory and N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Matter Theory,” arXiv:0901.4666 [hep-th].
- [17] C. Ahn and K. Woo, “Are There Any New Vacua of Gauged N=8 Supergravity in Four Dimensions?,” arXiv:0904.2105 [hep-th].
- [18] C. Ahn, “The Gauge Dual of Gauged N=8 Supergravity Theory,” arXiv:0905.3943 [hep-th].
- [19] C. Ahn and K. Woo, “Perturbing Around A Warped Product Of AdS_4 and Seven-Ellipsoid,” JHEP **0908**, 065 (2009) [arXiv:0907.0969 [hep-th]].
- [20] B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, “Hopf Fibration Of Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity,” Class. Quant. Grav. **1**, 499 (1984).
- [21] C. Ahn, “Squashing Gravity Dual of N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Gauge Theory,” Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 105001 (2009) [arXiv:0809.3684 [hep-th]].
- [22] C. N. Pope and N. P. Warner, “An SU(4) Invariant Compactification Of D = 11 Supergravity On A Stretched Seven Sphere,” Phys. Lett. B **150**, 352 (1985).
- [23] C. Ahn and T. Itoh, “The 11-dimensional metric for AdS/CFT RG flows with common SU(3) invariance,” Nucl. Phys. B **646**, 257 (2002).
- [24] C. Ahn, “Other Squashing Deformation and N=3 Superconformal Chern-Simons Gauge Theory,” Phys. Lett. B **671**, 303 (2009) [arXiv:0810.2422 [hep-th]].
- [25] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, “Consistent warped-space Kaluza-Klein reductions, half-maximal gauged supergravities and CP(n) constructions,” Nucl. Phys. B **597**, 172 (2001).
- [26] S. Franco, I. R. Klebanov and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, “M2-branes on Orbifolds of the Cone over $Q^{1,1,1}$,” JHEP **0908**, 033 (2009) [arXiv:0903.3231 [hep-th]].
- [27] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, “AdS/CFT correspondence and symmetry breaking,” Nucl. Phys. B **556**, 89 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9905104].

- [28] S. Franco, A. Hanany, J. Park and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, “Towards M2-brane Theories for Generic Toric Singularities,” JHEP **0812**, 110 (2008) [arXiv:0809.3237 [hep-th]].
- [29] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, “Supergravity theory in 11 dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **76**, 409 (1978).
- [30] P. Candelas and X. C. de la Ossa, “Comments on Conifolds,” Nucl. Phys. B **342**, 246 (1990).
- [31] L. J. Romans, “New Compactifications Of Chiral N=2 D = 10 Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **153**, 392 (1985).
- [32] S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, “Toric AdS(4)/CFT(3) duals and M-theory crystals,” JHEP **0705**, 004 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0702120].
- [33] C. V. Johnson, K. J. Lovis and D. C. Page, “The Kaehler structure of supersymmetric holographic RG flows,” JHEP **0110**, 014 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0107261].
- [34] R. Slansky, “Group Theory For Unified Model Building,” Phys. Rept. **79**, 1 (1981); J. Patera and D. Sankoff, Tables of Branching rules for Representations of Simple Lie Algebras(L’Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1973); W. MacKay and J. Patera, Tables of Dimensions, Indices and Branching Rules for representations of Simple Algebras(Dekker, New York, 1981).
- [35] P. Merlatti, “M-theory on AdS(4) x Q(111): The complete Osp(2—4) x SU(2) x SU(2) x SU(2) spectrum from harmonic analysis,” Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 2797 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0012159].
- [36] A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, R. D’Auria and S. Ferrara, “M-theory on the Stiefel manifold and 3d conformal field theories,” JHEP **0003**, 011 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9912107].
- [37] D. Fabbri, P. Fre, L. Gualtieri and P. Termonia, “Osp(N—4) supermultiplets as conformal superfields on d(AdS(4)) and the generic form of N = 2, D = 3 gauge theories,” Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 55 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905134].
- [38] A. Ceresole, P. Fre and H. Nicolai, “Multiplet Structure And Spectra Of N=2 Supersymmetric Compactifications,” Class. Quant. Grav. **2**, 133 (1985).
- [39] C. Ahn, “N = 2 SCFT and M theory on AdS(4) x Q(1,1,1),” Phys. Lett. B **466**, 171 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9908162].

- [40] D. Fabbri, P. Fre, L. Gualtieri and P. Termonia, “M-theory on $\text{AdS}(4) \times \text{M}(111)$: The complete $\text{Osp}(2-4) \times \text{SU}(3) \times \text{SU}(2)$ spectrum from harmonic analysis,” Nucl. Phys. B **560**, 617 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9903036].
- [41] H. Nicolai and N. P. Warner, “The $\text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)$ Invariant Breaking Of Gauged $\text{N}=8$ Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **259**, 412 (1985).
- [42] D. Fabbri, P. Fre’, L. Gualtieri, C. Reina, A. Tomasiello, A. Zaffaroni and A. Zampa, “3D superconformal theories from Sasakian seven-manifolds: New nontrivial evidences for $\text{AdS}(4)/\text{CFT}(3)$,” Nucl. Phys. B **577**, 547 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907219].
- [43] C. Ahn, “More Penrose limit of $\text{AdS}(4) \times \text{N}(0,1,0)$ and $\text{N} = 3$ gauge theory,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 1847 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206176]; C. Ahn, “Penrose limit of $\text{AdS}(4) \times \text{V}(5,2)$ and operators with large R charge,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 2067 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206029]; C. Ahn, “Comments on Penrose limit of $\text{AdS}(4) \times \text{M}(1,1,1)$,” Phys. Lett. B **540**, 111 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205109]; C. Ahn, “More on Penrose limit of $\text{AdS}(4) \times \text{Q}(1,1,1)$,” Phys. Lett. B **539**, 281 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205008].
- [44] M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, “Kaluza-Klein Supergravity,” Phys. Rept. **130**, 1 (1986).
- [45] Y. Oz and J. Terning, “Orbifolds of $\text{AdS}(5) \times \text{S}(5)$ and 4d conformal field theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **532**, 163 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9803167].
- [46] I. R. Klebanov and A. Murugan, “Gauge/Gravity Duality and Warped Resolved Conifold,” JHEP **0703**, 042 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701064].
- [47] S. S. Gubser, “Einstein manifolds and conformal field theories,” Phys. Rev. D **59**, 025006 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9807164].
- [48] A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, R. D’Auria and S. Ferrara, “Spectrum of type IIB supergravity on $\text{AdS}(5) \times \text{T}(11)$: Predictions on $\text{N} = 1$ SCFT’s,” Phys. Rev. D **61**, 066001 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905226].
- [49] K. Pilch and N. P. Warner, “ $\text{N} = 1$ supersymmetric renormalization group flows from IIB supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4**, 627 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0006066].
- [50] N. Halmagyi, K. Pilch, C. Romelsberger and N. P. Warner, “Holographic duals of a family of $\text{N} = 1$ fixed points,” JHEP **0608**, 083 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0506206].

- [51] S. Gubser, N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, “Generalized Conifolds and 4d N=1 SCFT,” JHEP **9905**, 003 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9811230].